Tetrahedron Letters No. 23, pp. 18-21, 1960. Fergamon Press Ltd. Printed in Great Britain

THERMAL DECOMPOSITION OF I-AZO-BIS-I-PHENYLETHANE:

ACCELERATION BY CHLORANIL

Raymond C. Petersen and Sidney D. Ross Research Laboratories, Sprague Electric Company

North Adams, Massachusetts

(Received 8 September 1960)

THE rate of the thermal decomposition of I-azo-bis-I-phenylethane, A, has been measu'red in the presence of chloranil, C, and HgO in N-methylpropionamide, NMP, solvent. It was found that chloranil produced a significant Increase in the rate of decomposition, while the suspension of HgO was necessary to neutralize an acid reaction product which halted the decomposition, probably through catalyzing the Isomerization of the azo compound to the corresponding hydrazone.

The course of the reaction was followed by measuring nitrogen evolution as a function of time with the reaction cell in a constant temperature bath which was maintained at $97.30 \pm 0.14^{\circ}$ **throughout the ruins reported here.**

The decomposition was found to be first order in A to a high percentage reaction and the first-order rate constants were found to be proportional to $\underline{C}_0/\sqrt{\underline{A}_0}$ where \underline{C} and \underline{A} are mole frac**tions of C and A respectively, and the subscripts refer to zero time. Table** 1 **presents the first-order rats constants k together** with values of \underline{A}_0 , \underline{C}_0 and $\underline{C}_0/\sqrt{\underline{A}_0}$. The rate constants were obtained by a least squares treatment of values of In A_O/A vs.time t, **using data obtal ned between 10% and** 60% **reaction.**

A least squares treatment of k vs. $C_0/\sqrt{A_0}$ gives a slope of 1.575 X 10^{-4} and an intercept of 3.798 X 10^{-5} sec⁻¹ with an average **deviation of 1.47%. The intercept agrees almost perfectly with firstorder rate constants obtained for the decomposition of A in both NMP and diphenyimethane with nothing added as** It **does with the value (3.78 X 10'5 set ") obtalned by extrapolation from the data of Cohen,** e<u>t al</u>.,¹ at two slightly higher temperatures in ethylbenzene

The data can be fitted by assumfng the foi lowing reactions to be the only kinetically significant ones:

$$
A \xrightarrow{k_1} N_2 + 2R \tag{1}
$$

$$
R \cdot + C \longrightarrow R \cdot (2)
$$

$$
RC \cdot + A \xrightarrow{k_3} RCR + N_2 + R \cdot \tag{3}
$$

$$
R \cdot + R \cdot \xrightarrow{K_4} R_2 \qquad (4)
$$

where R. is the 1 **-phenyiethyi radical and RC. symbol Izes the**

1 **S. G. Cohen, S. J. Groszos and D. 6. Sparrow, J. Am. Chem. Sot. 2, 3947 (1950). -**

TABLE I

product(s) of attack by R. on C. There is a wide variety of possibilities for the chemical identities of RC. and RCR, essentially unlimited by kinetic restrictions. In this situation, product analyses cannot identify with any certainty the species important in step 3.

Applying the steady-state approximation to R. and RC* yields the rate equation

$$
-\frac{d\underline{A}}{d\underline{t}} = \underline{A}\left[k_1 + k_2\sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_4}}\frac{\underline{c}}{\sqrt{\underline{A}}} \right].
$$
 (5)

Since individual runs are cleanly first order in <u>A</u>, the experiment **first order rate constant can be written**

$$
k = k_1 + k_2 \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_4}} \frac{\underline{c}}{\sqrt{\underline{A}}} = k_1 + k_2 \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_4}} \frac{\underline{c}_o}{\sqrt{\underline{A}_o}} \tag{6}
$$

This is predictable since both C and A decrease as the reaction proceeds, **with C decreasing less rapidly than A, and under these conditions the** function C/\sqrt{A} is not very dependent on time regardless of the exact **stoichiometry.**

it is interesting to note that, while step 3 is **most important** in determining the functional dependence of rate on C and A, k₃ does **not appear in the final rate expression.**

Apolying the rate data to equation 6 gives $k_1 = 3.798 \times 10^{-5}$ sec $^{-1}$ and $k_2/\sqrt{k_{l_1}} = 2.555 \times 10^{-2}$ sec $^{-1/2}$. Rate constants are given **in four figures for the least squares treatment, but the fourth figure is certainly doubtful. Also, there is evidence of side reactions with chloranil present which contribute an error to the value** of $k_2/\sqrt{k_{\mu}}$.

Step 1 is kinetically indistinguishable from the sequence

 $A \rightleftharpoons [R \cdot + \cdot N = N - R] \longrightarrow N_2 + 2R \cdot$ (7)

where brackets indicate a solvent cage. The radical RC. can thus react with either A, the cage or both with no observable kinetic difference.

 $No.23$

The nature of step 3 in the reaction scheme is of particular pertinence to the question of mechanism. It is improbable that this step represents an induced decomposition of A, since a separate study has shown that the rate of decomposition of A is increased by 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl. In this case attack by the DPPH radical on A seems unlikely due to the size of the radical and to its relatively low reactivity.

A reasonable possibility involves formation of a complex between RC \cdot and A,² which complex may then decompose to give N₂ at a faster rate than does A itself.

² C. E. Boozer and G. S. Hammond, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 3861 (1954). 76,